

Applicant: Martin Votsmeier  
U.S. Serial No.: 10/589,424  
U.S. Filing Date: May 7, 2007  
Amendment  
Page 4 of 5

### **REMARKS**

By this amendment, Applicants have amended claim 1 to include the features of claims 2, 3, 5 and 6, as the Examiner considers claim 6 allowable. Claim 7 has been amended to make it consistent with amended claim 1. Claims 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 have been canceled without disclaimer and Applicants reserve the right to pursue these claims in one or more continuation/divisional applications. These amendments do not add new matter. Applicants respectfully request entry of these amendments and allowance of the pending claims.

#### **I. Allowable Subject Matter**

The Examiner indicated that claim 6 is allowable if it were written in independent form. Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating allowable subject matter. By this amendment, Applicants have amended claim 1 to include the features of claims 2, 3, 5 and 6, as the Examiner considers claim 6 allowable. Therefore, claim 1 includes the features of claim 6 and all the pending claims are dependent on claim 1 and therefore are also allowable as well.

#### **II. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,341,487 (Takahashi). Claims 1-5, 7, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,460,329 (Shimotani).

Applicants respectfully disagree with this rejection. Nevertheless, to advance prosecution, by this amendment, Applicants have amended claim 1 to include the features of claims 2, 3, 5 and 6, as the Examiner considers claim 6 allowable. Therefore, claim 1 includes the features of claim 6 and all the pending claims are dependent on claim 1 and therefore are also allowable as well. Therefore, this rejection is now moot.

Applicant: Martin Votsmeier  
U.S. Serial No.: 10/589,424  
U.S. Filing Date: May 7, 2007  
Amendment  
Page 5 of 5

### **III. Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Shimotani.

Applicants respectfully disagree with this rejection. Nevertheless, to advance prosecution, by this amendment, Applicants have amended claim 1 to include the features of claims 2, 3, 5 and 6, as the Examiner considers claim 6 allowable. Therefore, claim 1 includes the features of claim 6 and all the pending claims are dependent on claim 1 and therefore are also allowable as well. Therefore, this rejection is now moot.

### **IV. Conclusion**

Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully solicited.

If any additional fees are due, or an overpayment has been made, please charge, or credit, our Deposit Account No. 11-0171 for such sum.

If the Examiner has any questions regarding the present application, the Examiner is cordially invited to contact Applicant's attorney at the telephone number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

/William D. Schmidt/  
William D. Schmidt  
Registration No.: 39,492  
Attorney for Applicant

Kalow & Springut LLP  
Telephone No.: (212) 813-1600